

# Journal of the Numismatic Association of Australia



#### Numismatic Association of Australia Inc.

Office Bearers

President W R Bloom Vice-President R A J O'Hair Secretary J Cohen Treasurer L M Bloom

Managing Editor G Davis

Production Editor B M Newman Proof Editor J O'Connor

Editorial Board P Attwood, W R Bloom, P Lane, J Melville-Jones, M Purdy, C Rowan,

K A Sheedy, M Stocker, C Stoess, M Trundle

Address: PO Box Z5211 Website: http://www.numismatics.org.au

Perth St Georges Terrace Website manager: W R Bloom

WA 6831 Australia

Membership: within Australia, \$A25 p.a. or \$A175 for 10 years

overseas, \$A30 p.a. or \$A275 for 10 years

Sponsoring Societies Delegates

Australian Numismatic Society R Sell <u>rodsell@rodsell.com</u>

PO Box 244, St Peters, NSW 2044

Australian Numismatic Society, Queensland Branch G Doyle gerald.doyle@bigpond.com

PO Box 78, Fortitude Valley, Qld 4006

Numismatic Association of Victoria D Burgess <a href="mailto:splock71@gmail.com">splock71@gmail.com</a>

PO Box 5016, Laburnum, Vic 3130

Numismatic Society of South Australia Inc P Lane pnj.lane@bigpond.com

PO Box 2183, Kent Town, SA 5071

Perth Numismatic Society Inc W R Bloom w.bloom@murdoch.edu.au

PO Box 259, Fremantle, WA 6959

Royal Numismatic Society of New Zealand Inc D Galt <a href="mailto:dgalt@paradise.net.nz">dgalt@paradise.net.nz</a>

PO Box 2023, Wellington 6015, New Zealand

Tasmanian Numismatic Society Inc TBA

PO Box 12, Claremont, Tas 7011

Elected Members of Council M Tichy turtle3@tpg.com.au

D Parker <u>irishcoins2000@hotmail.com</u> K A Sheedy ken.sheedy@mq.edu.au

ISSN: 0815-998X. The Journal of the Numismatic Association of Australia is a referred annual publication. Views expressed by the authors in this journal are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors or the NAA.

© Copyright rests with the individual authors. No work may be used or dealt with except as permitted by law or with permission of the author. Application to reproduce may be made directly to the authors or through the Managing Editor.

Digital preparation and layout by Openbook Howden Print & Design, St Marys, South Australia.

Front cover: Obverse die and medallion of West Australian Newspaper Award (see article "Royal Australian Institute of Architects - WA Chapter award medals")

ii INAA 28, 2017

### **Contents**

President's Report	iv
Editor's Note and Editorial Board	v
Walter R Bloom	
Royal Australian Institute of Architects - WA Chapter award medals	1
Christian Cuello	
Visigothic coins in the Gale collection of the Australian Centre for Ancient Numismatic Studies	19
Paul M Holland	
Die pairings, curved-base letters and dots: why are George V pennies so complex?	34
Charlotte Mann	
The significance of the military representation of Caracalla upon the coinage of his sole reign (212-217 CE)	54
Rachel Mansfield	
The iconography from the mint of Antipatris: the representation of architecture and religion	66
Bridget McClean	
Uneasy lies the head: the isolated head type on Tarentine coins	82
Jeremy McEachern Diving into history: The Richmond 'Dick' Eve Collection	94
Barrie M Newman	
The story behind Uganda's 1981 Charles & Diana	
commemorative coin	105
David J Rampling	
The numismatic interests of Isidore Kozminsky (1870-1944)	113
Frank J Robinson	100
Empire of Brazil Treasury Notes	122
T Vincent Verheyen A metallurgical origin for surface impairments found on	
Australia's larger silver Q-Alloy proof coins	141
Ray Jewell Award Recipients	154
Paul Simon Memorial Award Honour Roll	155
Sponsors	156
Guidelines for Authors	158

JNAA 28, 2017 iii

#### President's Report

Our seventh biennial international numismatic conference NAAC2017, which was held in Melbourne in October, was a great success. National Organiser Walter Bloom and the local Organizing Committee chaired by Darren Burgess put together an interesting program, one of the consequences of which was the marvellous selection of papers for this volume of the Journal.

This last year has seen the publication of Peter Lane's *The Coin Cabinet*, and the winning of the Paul Simon Memorial Award by Barrie Newman. Both Peter and Barrie are great contributors to the Association.

Our Vice-President, Darren Burgess, has advised that he won't be renominating at our coming AGM due to the pressure of work and the need to progress some NAV activities. I am grateful to Darren for all the work he puts into the NAA, in particular last year's biennial conference and the Facebook page, not to mention the steady stream of news items. In fact Darren is not completely off the hook as he has become the Victorian State Representative to the Association.

Stewart Wright of Status International has kindly offered us use of a room for the Association's AGM on Monday 16 April (commencing 1pm) at his new premises at 64 Parramatta Rd, Forest Lodge, close to the University of Sydney.

The NAA continues to enjoy sponsorship at a sustainable level, with Noble Numismatics (Gold), Coinworks, Downies (Silver), Drake Sterling, Sterling & Currency and Vintage Coins & Banknotes (Bronze) all contributing to ensure the Association's continued success. However expenses are rising and receipts are falling, even with the steady level of membership. On the positive side, many are taking out ten-year memberships.

I am appreciative of the support of Council and other NAA members throughout the year, and particularly our Secretary, Jonathan Cohen, and Treasurer, Lyn Bloom, who are pivotal in the running of the Association, and our Managing Editor, Gil Davis, for his work in producing this Volume 28 of JNAA.

#### Walter R Bloom

President, NAA www.numismatics.org.au March 2017

iv JNAA 28, 2017

#### **Editor's Note**

The 28<sup>th</sup> volume of the journal is a bumper issue and my eighth as Managing Editor. There are eleven articles reflecting a remarkable range of numismatic interests. I am particularly pleased to see the balance of modern Australian and historical numismatic interests, and the excellent scholarship throughout. Many of the articles derive from presentations given at the wonderful NAA conference held in Melbourne from 21-22 October, 2017. I thank the presenters for being willing to quickly turn their talks into articles, despite the hard work this entailed, as well as the dedication of the other contributors.

This journal is the annual publication of the peak numismatic body in the country. As noted in the last volume, I have been working with the President and the Editorial Committee to ensure the standard of all articles we publish compares favourably with the best international numismatic journals. This includes a rigorous double-blind peer-review process. I thank the members of the Editorial Committee (listed below) and the two anonymous reviewers assigned to each article for their prompt and constructive help.

I also wish to express my thanks to the two key people who work quietly and efficiently behind the scenes to help me get this journal out: John O'Connor (Nobles) who proofreads the articles, and Barrie Newman (Adelaide Mint) who carefully looks after the production process.

In this volume we have six articles on modern Australian topics. The articles by Paul Holland and Walter bloom are numismatic studies respectively of George V pennies and award medals struck by the Royal Australian Institute of Architects, WA chapter. Their treatments are exemplary demonstrations of the 'arcane art' of numismatic studies combining detailed knowledge with keen observation. These are foundational studies for others to follow. Vincent Verheyen uses his expertise in chemistry to analyse surface marks on predecimal proof coins made at the Melbourne branch of the Royal Mint. He successfully demonstrates that some of the marks result from production rather than careless handling, a finding that will have implications for collectors of proofs generally. Jeremy McEachern, Barrie Newman and David Rampling show another side of numismatics - how it can be used to inform our understanding of the past. Their entertaining articles range from illuminating the story of one of Australia's earliest dealers (Rampling on Isidore Kozminsky), to the sporting achievements of one of the country's celebrated early athletes (McEachern on Richmond 'Dick' Eve and the collection of his memorabilia in the National Sports Museum), and even the sorry tale of an 'official' fraudster who nonetheless got away with his misdeeds (Newman on a Ugandan High Commissioner).

JNAA 28, 2017 v

The volume also contains five articles on matters historical. Three of them deal with iconography and make fascinating reading, especially when taken together. Bridget McClean looks at Tarentine civic coinage c. 470–450 BC. Charlotte Mann and Rachel Mansfield both deal with iconography under emperors of the Severan dynasty of Rome in the early third century AD. Charlotte deals with the imperial portraiture of Caracalla, while Rachel examines the civic coinage of the eastern city of Antipatris under Elagabalus. The results of their studies are illuminating about how important coins were for disseminating propaganda, and in turn, understanding what was important to the emperors and cities that commissioned them. Christian Cuello takes us to the world of the Visigoths, best known for sacking Rome, but also producers of coinage, some of which reside in the Australian Centre for Ancient Numismatic Studies collection at Macquarie University, which he catalogues and discusses. Finally, Frank Robinson provides a careful study of bank notes of the Empire of Brazil which will be of interest to aficionados of paper money.

There is something for everyone in this volume.

#### Dr Gil Davis

Managing Editor

vi INAA 28, 2017

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

**Managing Editor** 

Dr Gil Davis

Macquarie University

editor@numismatics.org.au

**Editorial Board** 

Mr Philip Attwood

(British and World Commemorative

medals)

Keeper of Coins and Medals

The British Museum

pattwood@britishmuseum.org

Emeritus Professor Walter R Bloom

(Australian coins, medals and badges)

Murdoch University and Western

Australian Museum

w.bloom@murdoch.edu.au,

walter.bloom@museum.wa.gov.au

Mr Peter Lane

(Australiana)

Art Gallery of South Australia

pnj.lane@bigpond.com

Emeritus Professor John Melville-Jones

(Greek, Roman, Byzantine and late

antiquity)

University of Western Australia

john.melville-jones@uwa.edu.au

Mr Martin Purdy

(New Zealand coins, medals and badges)

Translate Ltd

translate@ihug.co.nz

Dr Clare Rowan

(Roman coinage; ancient tokens)

Warwick University

c.rowan@warwick.ac.uk

Dr Kenneth A Sheedy

(Greek, Roman and Hellenistic)

Australian Centre for Ancient

Numismatics

ken.sheedy@mq.edu.au

Dr Mark Stocker

(Historical International Art)

Te Papa Museum, Wellington

mark.stocker@tepapa.govt.nz

Mr Christian Stoess

(Mediaeval)

Berlin Coin Cabinet

c.stoess@smb.spk-berlin.de

**Professor Matthew Trundle** 

(Money and economics in antiquity)

University of Auckland

m.trundle@auckland.ac.nz

**Technical Editors** 

Mr Barrie Newman

**Production Editor** 

Mr John O'Connor

**Proof Editor** 

Articles must comprise original research on numismatic material including but not limited to Australasian numismatics (coins, tokens, medals, banknotes) or ancient or mediaeval numismatics. Manuscripts can be emailed to any member of the Editorial Board in your area of research, along with a copy to the Managing Editor.

INAA 28, 2017 vii

# The significance of the military representation of Caracalla upon the coinage of his sole reign (212-217 CE)

#### Charlotte Mann

#### **Abstract**

Obverse coin portraiture presents unique insights into the public image of a Roman emperor. This paper will use a close analysis of portraiture struck upon the imperial coinage of Caracalla to explore the degree to which the emperor's public image emphasised his associations with the imperial army. While ancient literary sources state Caracalla cultivated the public appearance of a military man, quantitative studies of his imperial coinage claim that he did not produce a higher volume of 'military' reverse types than earlier emperors, and therefore did not use coins to promote military associations. An examination of imperial obverse portraiture offers an opportunity to reconcile ancient literary and numismatic evidence. Obverse representations of Caracalla reveal a number of militarising features; strong evidence that the association between the emperor and the military described by ancient historians was indeed intentionally publicised on his coins during the period of his sole reign.

#### **Keywords**

[Caracalla] [Imperial coinage] [Obverse portraiture] [Roman Army]

#### Introduction

Literary and numismatic evidence appears at first sight to be contradictory in the case of Marcus Aurelius Antoninus (known for convenience by his nickname 'Caracalla'). Ancient literary accounts describe an emperor who wore his hair cropped and the rough garments of the ordinary soldiery to cultivate the public image of a military man. Quantitative studies of the imperial coinage produced during Caracalla's reign, however, have claimed that he did not produce a higher volume of 'military' reverse types than earlier emperors, and therefore did not use coins to promote associations with the army.<sup>1</sup>

Such uncertainty demands a reconsideration of the numismatic evidence. This paper will use an analysis of Caracalla's obverse portraiture to show that, contrary to the conclusions of earlier studies, the coinage that Caracalla issued as sole emperor did indeed promote the militaristic associations with the common soldiery that define him

54 INAA 28, 2017

<sup>1</sup> Noreña 2011, 146-168; Manders 2012, 41-48; Rowan 2012, 112.

within ancient literature. Examining obverse representations of Caracalla at different periods reveals an increasing number of militarising features. It presents strong evidence that the association between the emperor and the military described by ancient historians was indeed intentionally publicised on his coins during the period when he ruled as sole emperor.

#### Imperial Precedent - the Emperor and the Army

A martial public image became an established element of the imperial public identity when soldier emperors ruled at the end of the third century CE. Caracalla's strong cultivation of public military associations, however, occurred without imperial precedent and was inextricably connected with the controversial circumstances of his accession. Cassius Dio considered his adoption of a militaristic public image to be a consequence of the murder of his brother Geta in AD 212.² Caracalla had engineered a stabbing assassination of Geta by a group of loyal guards and, after the murder took place, fled to the praetorian camp for protection and entreated the army to support his position.³ The army had sworn allegiance to both Severus's sons, so had to be won over by bribes.⁴ In a speech attributed to the emperor by Dio, Caracalla secured the support of the military by identifying himself as their 'fellow-soldier:' "I am one of you," he said, "and it is because of you alone that I care to live, in order that I may confer upon you many favours; for all the treasuries are yours." And he further said: "I pray to live with you, if possible, but if not, at any rate to die with you" (Cassius Dio, *Roman History* 78.3).

Literary depictions of his conduct during the German and Parthian campaigns conducted while he was sole emperor also reveal that he continued to model his behaviour upon ordinary soldiers long after he had departed from Rome:

He always played the soldier's part . . . Scorning luxuries, he used whatever was cheapest and issued to the poorest soldier. He pretended to be delighted when they called him fellow soldier instead of emperor. For the most part he marched with the troops, carrying his own arms and rarely using a chariot or a horse . . . For these actions Caracalla won the affection of the soldiers (Herodian, *History of the Empire* 7.1).

The military identity cultivated by Caracalla was emphatically that of an ordinary soldier, rather than a commander. This distinction is emphasised throughout Dio's description of the emperor's military conduct, which states that "the duties of a commander, however, in which he ought to have been particularly well versed, he performed in a very unsatisfactory manner, as if he thought that victory lay in the performance of the

INAA 28, 2017 55

<sup>2</sup> Cassius Dio, Roman History 78.2-3; Mattingly and Sydenham 2007, 86; Campbell 1984, 52

<sup>3</sup> Herodian, History of the Empire 5.1

<sup>4</sup> Herodian reports that each soldier received a donation of 2,500 denarii and had his ration allowance increased by one-half (Herodian, *History of the Empire 4.4.7*). See also Cassius Dio, *Roman History 78.3*.

humble duties mentioned rather than in good generalship."<sup>5</sup> This image is compounded by the testimony of the historian Herodian, who reports that Caracalla preferred the title of 'comrade' to 'commander.'<sup>6</sup>

Such displays of collegiality did not begin with Caracalla. The image of an emperor who was a 'soldier-emperor', involved in the day to day life of his men, was instituted by Julius Caesar, who, Suetonius reports, addressed his men as *commilitones* (companions) rather than *milites* (soldiers).<sup>7</sup> Caligula and Claudius used the same term to emphasise their personal leadership of the imperial army and foster military support for their political position.<sup>8</sup> By the second century CE, a degree of familiarity with imperial soldiers had become an inviolable aspect of an emperor's public image. Hadrian, for instance, was said to have dined with his soldiers, while Marcus Aurelius was reputed to have addressed his son Commodus as a 'fellow-soldier.'<sup>9</sup> The emperor's active role as *commilito* developed further during the foreign wars and civil conflicts fought during the third century. The emperor Septimius Severus shared the work, rations and accommodation of his ordinary soldiery, and by doing so, cultivated the respect of his troops.<sup>10</sup>

Thus, an emperor who courted the affection and respect of his men was not without precedent. However, public identification as an ordinary soldier functioned as an inviolable and permanent aspect of Caracalla's imperial image. This image relied upon his appeal to the military to ratify his claim to sole imperial authority upon his accession to sole rule, rather than the commencement of a military campaign in Gaul. This significant departure from imperial precedent is expressed by both the obverse portraiture and the reverse imagery of his coins.

#### **Obverse Coin Portraiture**

In the past, insufficient attention has been paid to the fact that obverse portraiture during the different stages of Caracalla's reign provides an important insight into the impact of Caracalla's martial associations upon his public image.

As has been noted above, numismatic imagery had developed a broad iconographic language to denote imperial military activity by the time 'soldier emperors' reached their peak in the late third century CE. In the earlier years prior to Caracalla's rule, however, martial exploits were only a single element of an emperor's multifaceted public identity. They were acknowledged through only one obverse portraiture style – the depiction of the emperor 'armed and draped', wearing the military breastplate that we

<sup>5</sup> Cassius Dio. Roman History. 78.13.1-2

<sup>6</sup> Herodian. Roman History 4.7.4-7.

<sup>7</sup> Suetonius, *Life of Julius*. 67. 9

<sup>8</sup> Suetonius, Life of Caligula 22.1; Suetonius, Life of Claudius 10; Campbell 1984, 37

<sup>9</sup> Historia Augusta, The Life of Marcus Aurelius. 21.9; Herodian, History of the Empire 1.5.3-4.

<sup>10</sup> Cassius Dio, Roman History 74.15.3; Herodian, History of the Empire 2.11.2; 3.6.10.

call a *cuirass*, and a draped military cloak called a *paludamentum*.<sup>11</sup> This bust type did not appear until the reign of the fifth Roman emperor Nero (54-68 CE), and only become an established element of imperial obverse portraiture in the third century.<sup>12</sup> For example, Domitian (AD 81- 96) appeared cuirassed on only six issues of coins, despite the significant ideological emphasis placed upon military prowess and triumphal titulature after his German and Dacian campaigns (Figure 1).<sup>13</sup> Hadrian (117-138 CE) appeared cuirassed in approximately one third of his imperial coinage but wore his armour in combination with a laureate victor's crown. This gave him the appearance of wearing ceremonial garb, rather than a soldier's military attire (Figure 2).<sup>14</sup> His civilian aspect was emphasised by the fact that his hair was dressed in long, luxuriant curls and he wore a full beard that denoted his philhellenic philosophical and intellectual interests.<sup>15</sup> It seems therefore that his armour prepared him for ceremonial duties rather than long years on campaign.



Figure 1: Domitian, Rome, sestertius, AD 95-AD 96

**Obverse:** IMP CAES DOMIT AVG GERM COS XVII CENS PER PP. Laureate head

of Domitian, right

**Reverse:** S-C across fields. Triumphal arch surmounted by two elephant *quadrigae* (RIC 2 Domitian 796, Image courtesy of CoinArchives Pro: http://ikmk.smb.museum/mk-edit/images/n7/7628/vs\_opt.jpg\_[accessed 15/08/2017])



Figure 2: Hadrian, Rome, aureus, AD 117-118

Obverse: IMP CAESAR TRAIAN HADRIANVS AVG. Laureate, draped and

cuirassed bust of Hadrian, left

**Reverse:** M TR P COS III. Jupiter standing facing with thunderbolt and scepter

JNAA 28, 2017 57

<sup>11</sup> Hedlund 2008, 52

<sup>12</sup> King 1999, 133

<sup>13</sup> Example provided by RIC 2 Domitian 137, 656, 647, 1446, 1447, 1448

<sup>14</sup> Example provided by Hekster 2015, 81

<sup>15</sup> Birley 1999, 79

(RIC 2 Hadrian 63d, Image courtesy of CoinArchive Pro: https://pro.coinarchives.com/4bbcc35f0f9e443a3eb83cba 5f10d86b/img/ gorny/249/image00639.jpg [accessed 15/08/2017])

Caracalla's father Septimius Severus fought campaigns in Africa and Britain. He was awarded the triumphal *cognomina Parthicus, Britannicus Maximus* and *Adiabenicus Arabicus*, and the title *imperator* eight times. <sup>16</sup> Despite this, his military endeavours were not reflected in the style of his obverse portraiture (Figure 3). He appeared with a cuirassed portrait bust upon only 27 of the 829 imperial coins produced during his reign (approximately 3%). Further, these portraits did not portray the emperor with the short military haircut worn on campaign, but rather with a 'civilian-length' head of thick curly hair and a full beard. In this regard, Severus's numismatic representation follows that of Marcus Aurelius, who also continued to be represented with long hair and beard while fighting in the Marcomannic Wars (A.D. 166-180, Figure 4). <sup>17</sup>



Figure 3: Septimius Severus, Rome, denarius, 19mm, AD 201

Obverse: SEVERVS AVG PART MAX. Laureate head, right

Reverse: RESTITVTOR VRBIS. Septimius standing left, holding patera in right

hand over tripod altar, and spear in left

(RIC 4a Septimius Severus 167a, Image courtesy of CoinArchive Pro: https://pro.coinarchives.com/1bcb821828174e7cd83c8b66fab131b5/img/gorny/249/image00757.jpg [accessed 15/08/2017])



Figure 4: Marcus Aurelius, Rome, sestertius, AD 163/164

**Obverse:** M AVREL ANTONINVS AVG ARMENIACVS P M. Laureate head, right **Reverse:** TR P XVIII IMP II COS III S-C. Mars standing right holding spear and

resting hand on shield.

<sup>16</sup> Birley 1999, 116-117

<sup>17</sup> Example provided by RIC III1 Marcus Aurelius 861; Hekster 2015, 81

(RIC III Marcus Aurelius 861, Image courtesy of ProCoin Archives https://pro.coinarchives.com/1bcb821828174e7cd83c8b66fab131b5/img/gorny/249/image00757.jpg [accessed 15/08/2017])

The first coin portraits of Caracalla produced during Severus's reign continued to emphasise 'civilian' modes of representation (Table 1).

Obverse Portrait Busts of Caracalla–Reign of Septimius Severus (total 311)			
Draped Bust (gold)	Draped Bust (silver)	Draped Bust (base metal)	
19 issues- 30% of gold	103 issues- 65% of silver	47 issues- 52% of base metal	
denomination coins	denomination coins	denominations	
Cuirassed Bust (gold)	Cuirassed Bust (silver)	Cuirassed Bust (base metal)	
43 issues- 70% of gold	57 issues- 35% of silver	42 issues- 48% of base metal	
denomination coins	denomination coins	denominations	
Overall Percentage: Draped Bust: 169- (54%); Cuirassed Bust- 142 (46%)			

During his adolescence, as a young co-emperor, coins depicted Caracalla on their obverses with a draped bust in the majority of cases (Figure 5). The proportion of cuirassed portraits varies according to denomination. Preference for draped, rather than cuirassed, portraiture was particularly evident among silver denarii, which were struck in the greatest number and featured images of the young co-emperor represented in this way in 65% of obverse portraits. Only high denomination gold quinarii and aurei featured cuirassed portraiture on the majority of types (70%). It is possible that the decision to place a cuirassed imperial portrait upon higher denomination pieces reflected their use as pay for soldiers at the time when they were honourably discharged, and that the military portrait of the emperor was therefore created for the benefit of the military as a specific social group. Overall, however, drapery rather than a military cuirass appears upon the majority of Caracalla's portrait busts produced during Severus' reign. His 'civilian' aspect was also emphasised by the appearance of his visage and hair in cuirassed portraits. In these, his cheeks are full, his skin smooth and unlined, his hair styled in the well-defined waves also worn by his father Septimius Severus, and the 'stepped' locks on the nape of his neck may be compared with those favoured by other imperial princes.



Figure 5: Caracalla, Rome, denarius, AD 119

INAA 28, 2017 59

**Obverse:** IMP CAES M AVR ANTON AVG. Bust of Caracalla, laureate and draped,

right.

**Reverse:** IVVENTA IMPERII. Emperor standing left with Victory on globe & spear,

captive at feet.

(RIC 4a Caracalla 20, Image courtesy of Wildwinds http://www.wildwinds.com/coins/sear5/s6812.html [accessed 15/08/2017])

Caracalla's portrait underwent a significant 'militarisation' in years that followed of Geta's death (212- 217 CE) (Table 2):

Obverse Portrait Busts of Caracalla-Sole Reign (total 275)			
Draped Bust (gold)	Draped Bust (silver)	Draped Bust (base metal)	
15 (22%) of gold	40 (36%) of silver	25 (26%) of base metal	
denomination coins	denomination coins	denomination coins	
Cuirassed Bust (gold)	Cuirassed Bust (silver)	Cuirassed Bust (base metal)	
53 (78%) of gold	69 (64%) of silver	73 (74%) of base metal	
denomination coins	denomination coins	denomination coins	
Overall Percentage: Draped Bust: 80 (29%); Cuirassed Bust- 195 (71%)			

This presentation of the imperial portrait continued without change until the end of Caracalla's reign. By this time 195 obverse portrait busts (71%) had represented him with a cuirassed bust, while only 80 portrayed him with a draped bust without a cuirass (29%). The proportion of cuirassed portraits also became relatively equivalent across all gold, silver and base metal denominations; this suggests that a militarised image of Caracalla emerged as the dominant form of obverse portraiture and was not directed at a specific social group.

The military associations communicated by depictions of Caracalla as sole emperor wearing the cuirass were heightened by the inclusion of additional military features in his hair, visage, posture and expression. His curly hair and beard were now shown roughly shorn close to the skin (Figure 6). Furrows creased his forehead, neck and the skin between his eyes, which were narrowed into a wary squint. He now seemed hostile and vigilant and, although only twenty-three years old, seemed weathered by years on campaign. Together, the inclusion of such details served as an 'iconographic sign system,' that created a formulaic emphasis which heightened the overall impression of militarism

<sup>18</sup> A literary description of Caracalla's short haircut appears in Herodian with a somewhat amusing explanation. Caracalla arranged for the funeral of his freedman Festus to be celebrated in the manner of the Greek hero Patroclus. This ceremony required the family and close friends of the deceased to cut their hair and lay it upon the burning funeral pyre. "Since he was almost entirely bald, he made himself ridiculous when he wished to place his curls upon the blaze; he did, however, shear off what little hair he had." (Herodian, *History of the Empire* 4.8.5).

and personal involvement with the Roman army suggested by the cuirassed portrait that appears upon these coins.



Figure 6: Caracalla, Rome, aureus, AD 214

Obverse: ANTONINVS PIVS AVG GERM. Bust of Caracalla, laureate, draped and

cuirassed, right

**Reverse:** P M TRP XVII COS IIII P P, Caracalla standing left, sacrificing before the

Temple of Vesta, two Vestal virgins standing before, child between them,

two togate men standing behind

(RIC 4a *Caracalla* 249v. Image Courtesy of the British Museum. http://www.britishmuseum.org/collectionimages/AN00659/AN00659014\_001\_l.jpg [accessed 15/08/2017])

The emperor's military haircut, weathered face and severe expression were not limited to numismatic portraiture. Instead, they also served as the characteristic features of Caracalla's public image in contemporary sculpture. Busts of the emperor discovered in Rome portray his hair and beard as closely cropped (Figure 7). They also emphasise the stern expression and weathered countenance shown in coin portraiture, and depict his 'frown' as knitted brows and a pair of deep creases on his forehead. Several accentuate the impression of vigilance and hostility created by the coin portraits in that they depict the emperor with his head cocked to one side, creating the impression that the viewer has caught him in the momentary action of looking over his shoulder.<sup>19</sup> This transitory pose (although since it appears at a time when a cult of the great Greek hero Alexander the Great was represented in art with his head slightly bent to one side [Plutarch *Life of Alexander 4.1-4*], it may also have been intended to link the emperor with this famous figure of the past), lent immediacy to Caracalla's weathered countenance, so that his severe expression now seemed hostile and suspicious.<sup>20</sup>

INAA 28, 2017 61

<sup>19</sup> E.g. Bust of Caracalla from Altes Museum Berlin, Sk 384; Kleiner 2004, 324

<sup>20</sup> Kleiner 2004, 324



Figure 7: Rome, portrait bust, marble, AD 212, Naples National Archaeological Museum.

Accession number Inv. 6603.

Caracalla's military image must have bolstered his claim to sole imperial authority, which he acquired with the support of the army, in the aftermath of his brother's death. The association between the emperor and the army was expressed in a numismatic context by the presentation of him with a military haircut, weathered features and a posture that suggested wariness. However, this mode of representation presents such a radical break from numismatic precedent that it raises questions of whether it was real or idealised – either veristic, following the emperor's actual appearance, or employing a new form of idealism, that consciously roughened the young emperor's features in order to communicate his military involvement.

Roman coin portraiture up to that time had drawn upon two established stylistic traditions: verism, a 'warts and all' style, favoured during the Late Republican period, and classicising idealism, established by Augustus in the early years of the Roman Empire. <sup>21</sup> In the late republican period, veristic portraiture that emphasised the lined neck and hollow cheeks of Caesar, or the protuberant chin and shaggy hair of Antony, enabled numismatic imagery to communicate the personal nature of the triumvir's power. <sup>22</sup> This allowed his coinage to express political authority in an age more accustomed to group governance. Augustus broke from the veristic portrait style in favour of classical Greek models that rejected short hair, age and wrinkles in favour of a neutral, ageless countenance, one that also bore a hint of a resemblance to Apollo, the god whom he claimed had supported him when he defeated his opponents at the battle of Actium. <sup>23</sup>

62 INAA 28, 2017

<sup>21</sup> King 1999, 130

<sup>22</sup> Examples- RSC 22 and BMC 264; King 1999, 128

<sup>23</sup> King 1999, 128

This mode of representation created an impression of timelessness, and thus remoteness, which allowed the portrait of the emperor to become a blank canvas, able to present him as a leader favoured by the gods, the dispenser of liberalities, a rightful successor and a successful general, while still remaining recognisable.<sup>24</sup>

Idealism continued to be employed by emperors who wished to project a particular political image with all the ideological resources at their disposal. Portraits of the adopted family of the Antonine emperors adjusted the shape of their skulls, chin and noses to create the familial resemblance expected of biological fathers and sons, and ageing emperors such as Augustus and Nerva maintained an ageless quality in imperial portraiture in their later years.<sup>25</sup>

A return to verism occurred upon the conclusion of the civil wars that followed Nero's death, and again in the third century AD, with the rise of 'soldier emperors.'26 It can hardly be considered a coincidence that veristic portrait styles emerged as a dominant form of official representation in such periods, or that Caracalla stands as the tipping point between the generals who rose to power in the second century and the phenomenon of 'soldier emperors' that occurred during the third. The same veristic portrait styles that had enabled coins to express the personal nature of triumviral power in an age that had glorified group rule allowed these coin portraits to establish a clear association between the emperor and military activity. The image of a rugged soldier emperor would allow coin portraiture to bear witness to his personal involvement in the daily business of war, and thus give him full credit for a victory or justify a protracted absence from Rome. Further, it allowed an emperor whose power rested upon the influence of the army to publicly align himself with the social group to which he owed his accession to power. Militaristic imperial portraiture could be more effective than the vague allusions to Victory, virtus and military security that had come to serve as a standardised aspect of imperial identity during the imperial period.<sup>27</sup>

It is also notable that this emphasis upon military representation did not create an iconographic precedent; wearing a cuirass became very common only from the first 'proper' coin-series struck for Aurelian and later.<sup>28</sup> This suggests that this mode of representation was not idealistic, but rather was based on each emperor's actual activities.<sup>29</sup>

INAA 28, 2017 63

<sup>24</sup> Zanker 1988a, 98-100; King 1999, 128-129, 131

<sup>25</sup> Hekster 2015, 81

<sup>26</sup> Nodelman 1993, 17; King 1999, 128

<sup>27</sup> Hedlund 2008, 93

<sup>28</sup> Ibio

<sup>29</sup> A parallel is presented by Hadrian, the first Roman emperor to wear a beard, who was faithfully depicted with facial hair. That the imperial mint chose to represent him as he appeared, rather than 'shave the emperor' in order to follow imperial convention, presents a compelling precedent for veristic portraiture during the second century (Hekster 2015, 81)

#### Conclusion

It seems clear, therefore, that the imperial mint depicted Caracalla after he became sole emperor in a highly militaristic manner, even though this style of representation broke with imperial precedent, because it accorded with the emperor's wishes. The men responsible for initiating the tone of the coinage were either the mint masters (tres viri monetales) or slaves or freedmen of the emperor who held administrative positions, and they may sometimes even have chosen the types without consulting the emperor himself. Levick offers an interesting further interpretation of this process. She suggests that the people for whom coins were produced were not the only audience for the imperial images they bore; in her view, coin types might also address, cultivate and flatter the emperor by basing their representation of him on his own view of himself.<sup>30</sup> In this way, coins could then communicate the emperor's preferred image of himself to the people who used his coinage, and this would play an important role in shaping or changing the perceptions of the public.<sup>31</sup> In this sense, the portraits of Caracalla as a military man that appeared upon, and were propagated by, imperial coinage, were intended to flatter the emperor as well as communicating his martial associations to the people that used them.<sup>32</sup> This new way of representing him when he became the sole ruler, with a new prevalence of cuirassed obverse portraiture, and the inclusion of a military haircut, weathered features and a wary look, sent a strong message to the Roman people.

#### About the Author

Charlotte Mann is completing a doctorate at the University of Warwick and Macquarie University. She has held a Junior Fellowship at the Australian Centre for Ancient Numismatic Studies and has completed an internship at the Coins and Medals Department at the Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge.

#### **Thanks**

I thank the anonymous reviewers of the JNAA for providing many helpful comments and suggestions, and my Masters supervisor Dr Clare Rowan, who guided my study of the emperor Caracalla at the University of Warwick.

#### References

#### <u>Primary Sources</u>

Cassius Dio, Roman History, trans. E Cary (Heinemann, London 1914-1927) Suetonius, Lives of the Caesars, trans. C. Edwards (Oxford University Press, Oxford 2000)

30 Levick 1999, 44

<sup>31</sup> Ibid

<sup>32</sup> Ibid

- Herodian, History of the Empire from the Death of Marcus, trans. C.R. Whittaker (Heinemann, London 1969-1970)
- Historia Augusta, The Scriptores Historiae Augustae, trans. D. Magie (Heinemann, London 1921-1932)

#### **Catalogues**

- Mattingly, H. and Sydenham, A. (1923) The Roman Imperial Coinage Volume I-Augustus to Vitellius. Spink, London.
- Mattingly, H. and Sydenham, A. 1930, *The Roman Imperial Coinage Volume III-Antoninus Pius to Commodus*. Spink, London.
- Mattingly, H. and Sydenham, A. 1936, *The Roman Imperial Coinage Volume IV Part I-Pertinax to Geta*. Spink, London.

#### Modern Sources

- Birley, A. 1999, Hadrian: The Restless Emperor. Routledge, London.
- Campbell, B. 1984, The Roman Army, 31 BC-AD 337: A Sourcebook Routledge, London.
- Hedlund, R. 2008, *Achieved Nothing Worthy of Memory: Coinage and Authority in the Roman Empire* c. AD 260–295. Studia Numismatica Upsaliensia 5. Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala.
- Hekster, O 2015, Emperors and Ancestors: Roman Rulers and the Constraints of Tradition. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hekster, O. 2007. 'The Roman Army and Propaganda,' in *A Companion to the Roman Army* eds P. Erdkamp. Blackwell Publishing, Malden.
- King, C.E. 1999, 'Roman Portraiture: Images of Power?' in *Roman Coins and Public Life Under the Empire: E.Togo Salmon Papers II*, eds Mitchell, G.M and Ierardi, M. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
- Kleiner, D.E.E. 1992, Roman Sculpture. Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Levick, B. 1999, 'Messages on Roman Coinage: Types and Inscriptions' in *Roman Coins and Public Life Under the Empire: E.Togo Salmon Papers II*, eds Mitchell, G.M and Ierardi, M. M. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
- Manders, E 2012, Coining Images of Power: Patterns in the Representation of Roman Emperors on Imperial Coinage. Brill, Leiden.
- Nodelman, S. 1993, 'How To Read A Roman Portrait' in *Roman Art in Context* ed. E. D'Ambra. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Noreña, C. 2011, Imperial Ideals in the Roman West: Representation, Circulation, Power. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Rowan, C. 2012, *Under Divine Auspices: Divine Ideology and the Visualisation of Imperial Power in the Severan Period.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Zanker, P. 1988a, *The Power of Images in the Age of Augustus*. M. M. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.

JNAA 28, 2017 65



#### **Sterling and Currency**

#### **Dealers in Australian Rare Coins & Notes** Proud to Sponsor the NAA Journal

Our website has a wide range of Australian coins and notes, each with a hi-res image in full colour, coupled with a detailed description regarding the item's history, rarity and value.

Street: Shop 22; 35 William St FREMANTLE: WA: 6160

Postal: PO Box 364: FREMANTLE: WA: 6959 Phone: 08 6468 2467 Fax: 08 9336 1433

ABN: 24 120 655 469

http://www.sterlingcurrency.com.au



# BUYING OR SELLING?

#### OUR RESULTS TELL THE STORY.

If you are looking to acquire an Australian rare coin. Or sell an existing collection, our team is ready to guide you through the process with an on-going commitment to achieve your goals. And sellers please note. Our commission rates are competitive.

visit www.coinworks.com.au or call (03) 9642 3133. COINWORKS For more information on Australia's finest rare coins





156 INAA 28, 2017





#### **NAAC 2019 SYDNEY Conference**

to be held on Sat 6 - Sun 7 April 2019 at

the Australian Centre for Ancient Numismatic Studies, Macquarie University Welcome Reception Fri 5 Apr at 4.30pm

Local and International Speakers Topics: ancient, mediaeval, Australian coins banknotes, medallions & military medals

Registrations, fees and updates see www.numismatics.org.au/ (Events page) or write to NAA Secretary PO Box Z5211 Perth St Georges Tce WA 6831



INAA 28, 2017

## Journal of the Numismatic Association of Australia Inc (JNAA) Guidelines for authors

Submitted articles can be on any worthwhile numismatic topic, keeping the following guidelines in mind:

Subject Matter: should offer new information or throw new light on an area of numismatics, although preference is given to Australian and New Zealand authors or subject matter.

*Submitted Articles*: should be as much as possible the result of **original research**. Articles should not have been previously published or presently be under consideration for publication elsewhere.

#### All submitted articles are refereed before being accepted for publication

Once their work has been published in the *JNAA*, authors are asked not to re-publish that work elsewhere within a two-year period. Any applications for exemption from this requirement should be submitted in writing to the Managing Editor.

#### **Submissions:**

Articles: should be sent as an MS Word file, .doc, .docx or .rtf format. Submission should be by email attachment or by mail on CD.

*Images and Tables*: Submit article images and tables individually to the text document in high resolution JPEGs or TIFFs for images, or a separate MS Word or MS Excel document for tables. DO NOT supply images and tables only within the body of your document.

*Special Fonts*: If special characters or fonts are used within the text, please supply the relevant file with your submission.

*Author Statement*: Authors are asked to supply a brief numismatic biographical statement, which is appended to their published article with full name and email address.

#### Article format details:

#### http://www.numismatics.org.au/pdfword/JNAAGuidelines.pdf

*References*: The *JNAA* uses **footnote referencing**. Text reference numbers are placed before punctuation marks but after full stops, eg. end<sup>3</sup>; end.<sup>3</sup>, and follow sequentially through the text. If two references are needed at one point, separate them by a semicolon ';' in the footnote.

*Images and Tables*: All images should be referenced in the text. Text references to images should be numbered as (Fig. 1), (Figs. 1 and 2); (Table 1), (Tables 1 and 2); etc. The location of images and tables within the article needs to be indicated by <Insert Figure 'x'> or similar with figure caption text.

*Lists*: All lists should be presented as tables. These can be submitted within the body of the document or in a separate file.

Captions: Figure and table captions should explain images fully and read independently of the main text (in case they are moved). All images must be referenced and have copyright clearance.

*Quoting*: use quotation marks for quotes under two lines. Italicise and indent quotes longer than two lines. All quotes need to be referenced in the footnotes.

*Proofs*: Authors will receive a .pdf proof of their article for comment by email. Author comments can be made by placing comment tabs in the .pdf, or listing corrections/comments by page, column, and line number in a separate document. Comments must be returned by email to the Managing Editor no more than 5 days after receiving the proof.

**Enquiries**: Please direct all communications to the Managing Editor, Dr Gil Davis:

post: 1/1 Kiara Close, North Sydney, NSW 2060 Australia

email: editor@numismatics.org.au



# Be Part Of Our Success

With three major international numismatic auctions each year, you can be sure that your collection is in the hands of the very best. All our consignments are carefully catalogued and showcased in specialised catalogues in print and online.

For your free, confidential valuation call (O2) 9223 4578 or visit www.noble.com.au





